

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Parent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BAT 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,955	. <b>.</b>	09/29/2003	Jose Gonzalez	42P17408	3797	
8791	7590	04/17/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLAKE	LY SOKOI	LOFF TAYLOR &	PATEL, A	PATEL, ANAND B		
12400 W	ILSHIRE BO	OULEVARD				
SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANO	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2116		
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/673,955	GONZALEZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anand Patel	2116					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the second of the second of the second of the second of the maximum statutory period vortice. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	arch 2006.						
	·						
·—	, <del>_</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-14,18 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7,15-17 and 21-30</u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7,15-17 and 21-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed.							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Response to Election/Restriction filed 3/3/06 has been entered and as such claims 1-4, 8-14, 18-19, representing Group I, Species I, are elected. Given the allowability of generic claim 1, claims 5-7 will be rejoined.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because the instruction schedulers 432 of figure 3 are not clearly represented. It is not clearly defined how the connections referred to in the figure represent instruction schedulers. Applicant is advised to either add representations of instruction schedulers or to revise the specification to indicate that the instruction schedulers are linked to clusters 430 through lines 432-1 to 432-N. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2116

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no Brief Summary of the Invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 11-14, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims are directed towards an article of manufacture including a machine readable medium having instructions stored thereon; however, the machine readable medium, defined in the specification as including optical or electrical waves, is not statutory.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-10 are allowed. US Patent No 6794824 to Song et al discloses computing a metric for a current architecture over a predetermined period of time and comparing the computed metric to a metric of a prior architecture configuration. Prior art fails to disclose or suggest comparing the computed energy delay<sup>2</sup> product metric to an energy delay<sup>2</sup> product metric of a prior architecture configuration to determine an energy effectiveness of the current architecture configuration; and adjusting a number of active instruction schedulers of the current architecture configuration according to the energy effectiveness of the current architecture configuration.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Chung teaches using an energy delay<sup>2</sup> product metric for analysis of circuits.
- Mathew teaches using an energy delay<sup>2</sup> product metric to measure energy effectiveness in a high performance processor.
- Stan et al teach using an energy delay<sup>2</sup> product metric for architecture-level optimizations.
- Hsu et al teach the energy delay<sup>2</sup> product as a well-known energy metric that captures energy usage per operation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LYNNE H. BROWNE BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4

**ABP**